ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

EVIDENCE BASED REVIEW ON TOBACCO SMOKING AND DENTAL CARIES



Radio-diagnosis

Dr. Ekta Ingle

BDS, MDS, Oral & Maxillofacial Radiologist, Dept of OMFS & Diagnostic Sciences, Riyadh Elm University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The relation between smoking tobacco and dental caries has been the topic of research for quite some time. Varied association is presented in literature. A systematic review was conducted to analyse the relationship between smoking tobacco and dental caries. Methods: For the purpose of the review, only observational and prospective studies were selected. No case reports, reviews and letter to editors were excluded. All the studies measured dental caries using the DMFT index and the habit of smoking tobacco was self reported. **Results:** All the 9 articles appraised presented an increased risk of dental caries in smokers. **Conclusion:** A definite association was established between smoking tobacco and the increased risk of dental caries. Extensive research is needed to guarantee the causal relationship between the two variables.

KEYWORDS

Smoking tobacco, dental caries, cigarettes, oral health, habits, prevalence

Introduction:

Smoking tobacco is a major public health concern today1. The health consequences of this habit is that, it is responsible for over 7 million deaths and loss to economy globally every year, as reported in World Health Organization2. Tobacco use in India has a prevalence of 55.8%, as per the National household survey of drug and alcohol use3. The chemicals in tobacco affects several systems causing cardiovascular diseases, cancers, atherosclerosis, etc⁴.

Tobacco is smoked in various forms such as cigarettes, cigars and piped tobacco5. In Asian countries, it is also taken in the form of bidis, hookah and chillum. Globally, cigarettes make for the mass production of tobacoo, which is smoke. It is estimated that more than 1.1 billion adult population are currently cigarette smokers worldwide⁶.

Tobacco not only affects general health, but even oral health. Tobacco use impairs oral health and can cause stains on teeth and restorations, dysguesia, periodontal pathology, development of oral mucosal lesions and conditions such as leukoplakia, oral submucous fibrosis, smokers palate, coating of the tongue and dental caries⁷.

Association between smoking and dental caries has varied opinions. Johnson8 proposed that smoking tobacco helps to reduce caries, who supported this proposal by the presence of higher concentration of Thiocyanate in the smoker's saliva, which is a constituent of tobacco smoke and exhibits caries inhibiting effect. On the other hand, low salivary pH and decreased buffering effect along with higher number of Streptococcus mutans and Lactobacilli in smokers may greaten the risk of caries susceptibility was proposed by several authors9,10,11,12. Literature documents the association of tobacco use with greater risk of dental caries in the adult population¹³.

Varied data regarding the increased presence of dental caries in tobacco smokers prompted us to review the concurrent presence of these two variables based on literature evidence.

Materials and methods:

The MEDLINE (PubMed) and the Journal of Web electronic database was explored for articles published in English language on the relation between dental caries and tobacco smoking. Studies published up to 2019 were included. Key words and terms used for the search were smoking tobacco, dental caries, cigarettes, oral health. Reference checks of the articles found were also made to garner further studies. The variables assessed were tabulated which included Study ID (First author name and year of study), study design, sample size, mean age of the sample, habit assessment, lesion evaluation and inference.

The PICO was formed for the study, wherein

- P-People who smoked tobacco
- I-Observational study
- C-General population

O-Dental caries

The question generated was "Does the habit smoking increase the risk

of dental caries?".

Flow chart for evidence based review on relation between smoking tobacco and dental caries



Results:

A total of 2798 articles were found in Pubmed and Journal of Web, of which 25 were rejected after reading the abstracts. For the sake of the review, 9 full articles in English were included which fulfilled the inclusion criteria of the reviewers. Studies included in the review were: Vijaya Hegde et al, Gunnel Hansel Peterson et al, Shreya Sharma et al, Tanner et al, Anders Holmen et al, Aguilar Zinser et al, Vellapally et al and Axelsson et al.

Out of nine appraised studies, seven were of cross sectional design and 2 were of prospective design. The habit of smoking was self reported in all studies.

One among the nine studies reviewed, reported microbial counts of Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus sanguis and Candida albicans. Streptococcus mutans had a colony count of 46 X 104 and 3.85 X 104 among smokers and non – users respectively, while Streptococcus sanguis had a colony count of 10 X 104 and 1.3 X 104 among smokers and non–users respectively. The mean colony forming unit of Candida Albicans was 1.3 X 102 and 0.00 among smokers and non – smokers, inferring that smoking tobacco habit influences microbial growth.

Study ID	Study	Sample	Mean	Habit	Caries	Inferences
	design	number	age	assessm	evaluation	
				ent		
Vijaya	Cross	75	20-40	Self	DMFT	Mean DMFT
Hegde,	section		years	reported	index of	was higher in
201914	al				WHO	smokers at
	study				1997 Basic	4.63 than
					Oral health	1.79 in non-
					survey	smokers

Hansel Petersson, 201915ive study studyat baselin e and 982 after 3 years with a dropreported haselin e and 982 after 3 years sectional 201816ive studyof 1.5((95% CT 1.2-1.7) was observed in smokersShreya 201816Cross study300 study20-40 sectional studyFagerstr years om test for nicotine acepende nceMean DMFT DMFT DMFT DMFT Mean DMFT DMFT Jomers than non – users(2.50 + 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.41) Mean DMFS also was bigher in smokers than non – smokers than non smokers than non smokers th	volume-8		- F				
Petersson, 201915study e and 982 after 3 years with a dropWHO criteriaCl 1.2.1.7) was observed in smokersShreya 201816Cross study300 years20-40 yearsFagerstr for nicotime depende nceMean DMFT / DMFS index of descende 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.41) Mean DMFS also was higher in smokers than non- smokers was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.55 +4.05Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8537 19.6Self years reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was (5.3 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Pompet 201318Cross sectional sectional824 also also35- sectionalSelf alsoMean pompet di pompet diAnders 200819Cross sectional805 also30- secti	Gunnel	Prospect	1295			DMFS	Relative risk
201915e and 982 after 3 years with a dropcriteria was observed in smokerswas observed in smokersShreya 201816Cross study300 study20-40 pFagerstr for nicotine depende nceMean DMFT DMFS index of WHO 1987Mean DMFT was greater in smokersShreya 201816Cross study300 study20-40 pearsFagerstr por for nicotine depende nceMean DMFT DMFS index of WHO 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.51vs 1.75 + 3.050Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sectional study19.6 pearsSelf pears reportedMean DMFTTanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 pears19.6 pearsSelf pears reportedMean DMFTTanner, 201318Cross sectional study8537 pears19.6 pearsSelf pears reportedMean DMFTAnders 201318Prospect pears10,068 pears16-19 pears pears reportedMean pomer DMFSMean DMFS mono- smokers was 6.35 +4.85 and non- smokersAnders 200819Prospect pears10,068 pears16-19 pears pears reportedMean pomer differed between never and ever users was 8.80 ever users was 8.80 ever users was 8.80 ever users was 8.80 ever users was 8.80 ever users ever users 				years	reported		
Shreya Sectional 201816Cross sectional study300 study20-40 years years om test pears om test for nicotime nceMean DMFT / DMFS index of depende nceMean DMFT / DMFS index of 151vs 1.75 + 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.75 + 1.75vs 1.75 + 1.75vs 1.75vs 1.75vs 1.	,	study					
Shreya Sharma, sectional 201816Cross study300 20-40 sectional study20-40 sectional studyFagerstr years of or nicotine depende nceMean DMFT index of wHO 1987Mean DMFT was greater twas greater than non - users(2.50 + 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.41) Mean DMFS also was higher in smokers than non - smokers than non - smokers than non - smokers (5.67 + 4.19) vs 3.18 + 3.05)Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sectional study19.6 years reportedMean post post sectional studySelf years reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers also was higher in smokers than non - smokers also mas also	201915					criteria	
Shreya Shreya 201816Cross sudy300 300 20-40Fagerstr for in circotine depende nceMean DMFT / DMFS index of than non - users(2.50 + 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.51							in smokers
Shreya Shreya 201816Cross sectional study300 years2040 om test for nicotine depende nceMean DMFT / DMFS index of users (2.50 + 1.51vs 1.51v 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51v 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1.51vs 1							
Image: sectional sectional 201816Gross sectional study30020-40Fagerstr om test for income insolution somokers than non - users(2.50 + 1.51 vs 1.75 + 1.41) mean DMFS index of depende inceMean DMFS insolution somokers than non - users(2.50 + 1.51 vs 1.75 + 1.41) Mean DMFS also was higher in somokers than non - somokers index of depende inceMean DMFS also was higher in somokers than non - users(2.50 + 1.51 vs 1.75 + 1.41) Mean DMFS also was higher in somokers in			2				
Shreya Sharma, 201816Cross sectional study30020-40 yearsFagerstr om test nicotine depende nceMean DMFT / DMFSMean DMFT was greater in smokers than non - users(2.50 + 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.41) Mean DMFS also was higher in smokers tanon smokers (5.67 + 4.19)Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study853919.6 yearsSelf reportedMean UMFT Mean DMFTTanner, 201517Cross sectional study853919.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTTanner, 201418Cross sectional study853719.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTTanner, 201418Cross sectional study853719.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Lougan 200819Cross sectional824 soc35.5 freportedSelf DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users soch on-smokers which was s.55 + 5.7.2Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 soc30 - 69Self reportedDMFT DMFTAxelsson, y, 200820Cross sectional1093Not knowSelf portedDMFT and ported							
Sharma, 201816sectional studyyears studyom test for nicotine depende nceDMFT / DMFSwas greater in smokers index of than non - users(2.50 + 1.51vs.1.75 + 1.41) Mean DMFS also was higher in smokers than non - smokers (5.67 + 4.19 vs.3.18 + 3.05)Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFT also was higher in smokers than non - smokers (5.67 + 4.19 vs.3.18 + 3.05)Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTTanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFT in smokers and non users was reportedAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders 200819Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 reportedMean DMFSAnders 200819Cross sectional824 s5.5Self reportedMean DMFTAudiar 200820Cross sectional805 s0 - soSelf reportedDMFT mean DMFT in smokers was s.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 s0 - sectional30 - s0 - sectionalSelf solDMFT and FTAnders y, 200820Cross sectional805 s0 - sectional30 - solSelf solDMFT and		~			-		
201816studyfor nicotine depende nceDMFS index of index of wHO ncein smokers index of users(2.50 + 1.51vs 1.75 + 1.41) Mean DMFS also was higher in smokers than non - smokers smokers (5.67 + 4.19) vs 3.18 + 3.05)Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 + 4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reported and non users was reportedMean DMFTAnders 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reported and non- smokers and non- significantly differed between never and sectionalSelf yearsMean ported at ported at and non- significantly differed between never and sectionalAnders 200819Prospect i sectional10,068 sectional16-19 selfSelf ported at and FTAnders 200819Cross sectional824 sol35.5 solSelf reportedDMFT mean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 sol sol sol solAudiar 200820Cross sectional805 sol sol30- sol solSelf sol DMFTDMFT mon- soncers sol sol sol sol sol sol solVellappall y 200820Cross sectional805 sol sol30- sol solSelf sol DMFT and FTDT in smokers <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>300</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-		300				
Anders 201318Prospect ive type10,068 and 				years			
Anders PospectProspect10,068 Not16-19 Sectional study8537 Sectional study19.6 Self reportedWean Mean DMFTMean DMFS also was higher in smokers than non - smokers (5.67 + 4.19 vs 3.18 + 3.05)Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 + 4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders 10lmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 sectional sectional study16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders 200819Prospect ive type10,068 sectional16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders 200819Cross sectional824 sold35.5 soldSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders 200819Cross sectional824 sold35.5 soldSelf soldDMFT man der mean DMFSVellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 sold30 - 69Self solfDMFT and sonkers was sold-44 + 3,95 compared to non smokers, sold-44 + 3,95Axelsson, y, 200820Cross sectional1093 knowNot solfSelf DMFT and DMFSDMFT and DMFS	201810	study			-		
Anders 201318Prospect ic sectional study19.6 ic icSelf ic ic icMean ic<							
Anders PospectProspect10,06816-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFS also was brigher in smokers than non - smokers (5.67 + 4.19 vs 3.18 + 3.05)Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study853719.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 + 4.05Tanner, 201418 sectional studyCross sectional study853719.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMetric and non- users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 + 3.78Mean yearsMean DMFT in smokers was reported at 5.43 + 4.85 and 3.55 + 3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect10,06816-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders 200819Prospect10,06816-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSMean DMFT in smokers was ever users (p<0.0001)							
Mean DMFS also was higher in smokers than non - smokers than non - smokers than non - smokers than non - smokers than non - smokers than non - smokers the solution of the sectional study19.6 sectional studySelf yearsMean reportedMean DMFT DMFTTanner, 201517Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 + 4.05Tanner, 201418 sectional studyCross sectional study8537 years19.6 reportedSelf DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 + 4.85 and 3.55 + 3.78Anders Holmen, ive typeProspect ive type10,068 years16-19 reportedSelf DMFTMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)					nee	1707	
Anders 201318Prospect ive type10,068 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross ive type8537 ive type19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders 201318Prospect ive type10,068 ive type16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders 200819Cross sectional sectional824 sold35.5 soldSelf reportedMean DMFSAguilar 200819Cross sectional805 sold30 - soldSelf reportedDMFTMean DMFT mokers significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sets19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 reportedSelf perserMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers and non- smokers and s.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Cross sectional824 so35.5 reportedSelf reportedMean DMFT DMFSAguilar 200819Cross sectional824 so35.5 reportedDMFT and FTMean DMFT in smokers significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sets19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 + 4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 reportedSelf perserMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers and non- smokers and s.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Cross sectional824 so35.5 reportedSelf reportedMean DMFT DMFSAguilar 200819Cross sectional824 so35.5 reportedDMFT and FTMean DMFT in smokers significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 years19.6 reportedSelf pearsMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Cross sectional sectional824 sold35.5 soldSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 200819Cross sectional824 sold35.5 soldSelf reportedDMFT mon-smokers and FTVellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 sold30 - 69Self reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, s.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot Self DMFT and DMFSMean dom purported							smokers than
Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 reportedSelf pearsMean DMFS pearsAnders Holmen, 201318Cross sectional824 sold35.5 soldSelf reportedDMFT DMFSAguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 sold35.5 soldSelf reportedDMFT mean DMFTVellappall y, 200820 sectionalCross sold805 sold30 - soldSelf reportedDT, MT and FT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, soldAxelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot Self DMFT and DMFSDMFT and DMFS							non –
Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 years19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was fo.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 reportedSelf portedMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							smokers
Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was reportedTanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							`
Tanner, 201517Cross sectional study8539 years19.6 reportedSelf menoredMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
201517sectional studyyearsreportedDMFTin smokers and non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study853719.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							3.05)
studystudyand non users was 6.35 +4.86 and 3.75 +4.05Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study853719.6 yearsMean reportedMean DMFT DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)		Cross	8539	19.6	Self		Mean DMFT
Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reported portedMean DMFTMean DMFS in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Cross sectional824 sectional35.5 selfSelf portedMean DMFS between never and ever users (p<0.0001)	201517			years	reported	DMFT	
Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 19.6 years19.6 reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 200819Cross sectional824 sold35.5 +10Self reportedMean DMFS DMFSAguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 sold35.5 +10Self reportedDMFT mean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 soldMean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 soldVellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 sold30 - soldSelf reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know know reportedDMFT and DMFS		study					
Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 sectional study19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS is ginificantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
Image: Constant sectional studySectional studySelf yearsMean DMFTMean DMFT201418sectional studyyearsreportedDMFTIn smokers and non-smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect10,06816-19 yearsSelf reportedMeanMean DMFSAnders Holmen, 201318Prospect10,06816-19 yearsSelf reportedMeanMean DMFSAguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional82435.5 + 10Self reportedDMFTMean DMFT isignificantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
Tanner, 201418Cross sectional study8537 years19.6 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFT in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFTMean DMFS in smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSAguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 stectional35.5 self + 10Self reportedDMFT Mean DMFTAguilar y, 200820Cross sectional805 sol30 - 69Self reportedDMFT mon-smokers was 8.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 sol30 - 69Self reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot know reportedDMFT and DMFS							
201418sectional studyyearsreportedDMFTin smokers and non- smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect10,06816-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)		~			<u> </u>		
Studystudyand non-smokers was reported at 5.43 +4.85 and 3.55 +3.78Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 yearsSelf reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 16-19 years16-19 reportedSelf Mean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 + 1035.5 reportedSelf preportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)	201418			years	reported	DMFI	
Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 16.19 years16-19 reportedSelf peprtedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)Aguilar Z00819Cross sectional824 sectional35.5 sold sectionalSelf reportedDMFT mean DMFTMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users was 8.80 +6.56 versus non-smokers which was 8.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 6930 - reportedSelf portedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot knowSelf DMFT and DMFT and DMFS		study					
Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 16.19 years16-19 reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 sectional35.5 soldSelf reportedDMFT Mean DMFTAguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 sold35.5 soldSelf reportedDMFT mean DMFTVellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 sold30 - 69Self reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers soldVellappall 199821Cross sectional805 sold30 - soldSelf reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers soldAxelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know knowNot kelfSelf DMFT and DMFSDMFT and DMFS							
Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 sectional35.5 self + 10Self reportedDMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
Anders Holmen, 201318Prospect ive type10,068 years16-19 reportedMean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 sectional35.5 self + 10Self reportedDMFT Mean DMFSMean DMFS significantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)							
Holmen, 201318ive type ive typeyears yearsreportedDMFSsignificantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional82435.5 + 10Self reportedDMFTMean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 +6.56 versus non-smokers which was 8.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 6930 - reportedSelf reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot knowSelf DMFT and DMFSDMFT and DMFS							
Holmen, 201318ive type ive typeyears yearsreportedDMFSsignificantly differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional82435.5 + 10Self reportedDMFTMean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 +6.56 versus non-smokers which was 8.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 4000000000000000000000000000000000000	Anders	Prospect	10.068	16-19	Self	Mean	Mean DMFS
201318771differed between never and ever users (p<0.0001)Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional82435.5 + 10Self reportedDMFT Mean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 +6.56 versus non-smokers which was 8.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 - 30 - 6930 - reportedSelf reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot knowSelf DMFT and DMFSDMFT and DMFS			10,000				
Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 824 + 1035.5 reportedSelf PortedDMFT Mean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 +6.56 versus non-smokers which was 8.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 6930 - reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot know reportedSelf DMFT and DMFS				5			
Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 82435.5 1000000000000000000000000000000000000							between
Aguilar Zinser, 200819Cross sectional824 824 + 1035.5 reportedSelf reportedDMFT Mean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 +6.56 versus non-smokers which was 8.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 6930 - reportedSelf reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot know reportedSelf DMFT and DMFS							never and
Aguilar Zinser, 200819 Cross sectional 824 35.5 + 10 Self reported DMFT Mean DMFT in smokers was 8.80 + 6.56 versus non-smokers withich was 8.55 + 5.72 Vellappall Cross y, 200820 805 30 - 69 Self reported DT, MT and FT smokers was 8.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25 Axelsson, Cross 19921 Sectional Not self know reported DMFT and DMFT section and DMFS							ever users
Zinser, 200819sectional (1)+ 10 (1)reportedin smokers (1)200819sectional+ 10 (1)reportedin smokers (1)sectionalVellappall (1)Cross (2)805 (2)30 - (2)Self (2)DT, MT (2)DT in (2)Vellappall (2)Cross (2)805 (2)30 - (2)Self (2)DT, MT (2)DT in (2)Vellappall (2)Cross (2)805 (2)30 - (2)Self (2)DT, MT (2)DT in (2)Axelsson, (199821)Cross (2)1093 (2)Not (2)Self (2)DMFT and (2)Axelsson, (199821)Cross (2)1093 (2)Not (2)Self (2)DMFT and (2)							(p<0.0001)
Zinser, 200819sectional (1)+ 10 (1)reportedin smokers (1)200819sectional+ 10 (1)reportedin smokers (1)sectionalVellappall (1)Cross (2)805 (2)30 - (2)Self (2)DT, MT (2)DT in (2)Vellappall (2)Cross (2)805 (2)30 - (2)Self (2)DT, MT (2)DT in (2)Vellappall (2)Cross (2)805 (2)30 - (2)Self (2)DT, MT (2)DT in (2)Axelsson, (199821)Cross (2)1093 (2)Not (2)Self (2)DMFT and (2)Axelsson, (199821)Cross (2)1093 (2)Not (2)Self (2)DMFT and (2)	Aguilar	Cross	824	35.5	Self	DMFT	Mean DMFT
200819was 8.80200819was 8.8046.56 versus non-smokers which was 8.55 + 5.72Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 6930 - reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot know reportedSelf DMFT and DMFS	U						
Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 80530 - 69Self reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers shich was and FTAxelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 805Not know reportedSelf DMFT and DMFS					1		
Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 80530 - 69Self reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 knowNot reportedSelf DMFT and DMFS							+6.56 versus
Vellappall y, 200820Cross sectional805 80530 - 69Self reportedDT, MT and FTDT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25Axelsson, 199821Cross sectional1093 know reportedNot know reportedSelf DMFT and DMFS							non-smokers
Vellappall y, 200820 Cross sectional 805 30 - 69 Self reported DT, MT and FT DT in smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25 Axelsson, 199821 Cross sectional 1093 Not know Self reported DMFT and DMFS							
y, 200820 sectional 69 reported and FT smokers was 6.44 + 3.95 compared to non smokers, 5.1 + 4.25 Axelsson, Cross 199821 sectional Not Self DMFT and DMFS							8.55 + 5.72
Axelsson, Cross 1093 Not Self DMFT and 199821 sectional know reported DMFS	Vellappall	Cross	805				
Axelsson, 199821 Cross sectional 1093 know Not reported Self DMFT and DMFS	y, 200820	sectional		69	reported	and FT	smokers was
Axelsson, 199821 Cross sectional 1093 know Not reported Self DMFT and DMFS							
Axelsson, Cross 1093 Not Self DMFT and 199821 sectional know reported DMFS							*
Axelsson, Cross 1093 Not Self DMFT and 199821 sectional know reported DMFS							non smokers,
199821 sectional know reported DMFS							5.1 + 4.25
I study I n I I	199821				reported	DMFS	
		study		n			

Discussion:

Tobacco in any form affects oral health resulting in gingival and periodontal destruction, oral pre-cancerous and cancers, cleft lip and cleft palate. Smoking during pregnancy can cause congenital defects in children22. Dental caries is a progressive, microbial disease of the oral cavity resulting in dissolution of the organic portion and demineralisation of the inorganic substance of the tooth resulting in cavity formation. It left untreated, it can result in pulpal and periapical pathologies, and ultimately tooth loss. Several factors are concurrently found with dental caries, of which tobacco smoking is also one.

All the studies reviewed presented a positive correlation between

PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8179 | DOI : 10.36106/ijsr

smoking tobacco and dental caries in ages 16 years and above. All the 9 appraised articles involving cross - sectional and prospective research reported a negative impact of smoking on dental health. Dental caries was found to higher amongst smokers than non smokers. But as caries is a multi - factorial, non-communicable disease, it is imperative to the habit of smoking might coincide with other risks involved such as biological, psychosocial, life style and socio-economic risk factors. The biological risk factors can be decreased salivary buffer capacity and salivary IgA levels along with decreased salivary pH23. Though literature has reported an association of cigarette smoking with caries prevalence, certain host factors also needs to be looked into like, age, oral hygiene habits, dietary habits, consumption of alcohol and regular visits to dentists24. Natural tobacco has a sugar level of 20% wt. In addition to this, during tobacco processing, various sugars and sweetners are also added for the flavour or to act as a humectants. Sweetners like glucose, fructose, invert sugars and sucrose are added upto 13% wt. Sugar additives may be appreciated by young adult smokers for their sweet taste and pleasant smell. This may contribute for the increased of dental caries among smokers. Another theory favouring increased prevalence of dental caries in smokers is that nicotine changes oral ecology, supported by an invitro study which proposes that nicotine accelerates plaque formation²⁵.

All the included studies used Decayed – Missing - Filled Teeth or Decayed – Missing – Filled Surface to score dental caries. None of the authors used any form of radiographs for caries assessment. DMFT index is universally acceptable and applied as it is simple while DMFS index adds a sensitive component.

Though the review showed a positive relation between smoking tobacco and dental caries, a causal relationship between the two cannot be drawn as most of the studies were of cross sectional design. Clinical trials, prospective studies and experiments are further needed to identify the independent effect of dental caries as the cause for smoking tobacco.

Certain limitations may impact the review results. Tobacco assessment was self reported inducing a subjective bias. As the participants knew the purpose of the study, social desirability bias would be incorporated as tobacco usage would not be reported appropriately.

Conclusion:

The review undeniably presented smoking tobacco bearing a negative impact on oral health. Dental caries was found to be in higher prevalence in smokers than smokers. Further research is needed to educate and promote awareness about smoking tobacco.

References:

- Sibu P Saha, Deepak K Bhalla, Thomas Whayne, CG Gairola. Cigarette smoke and adverse health effects: An overview of research trends and future needs. Int J Angiol 2007; 16(3):73-82.
- World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic. Monitoring tobacco use and prevention policies 2017.
 Ray R. The extent, pattern and trends of drug abuse in India, National survey, Ministry of
- Kay K. The extent, pattern and trends of drug abuse in India, National survey, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment. Government of India and United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Regional office for South Asia; 2004.
- Mitali R, Aarti G, Pramod Y, Kunal J, Sahil H. Diagnostic methods for detection of cotinine level in tobacco users: A review. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic research 2016;
- 5. Winn DM. Tobacco use and oral disease. J Dent Educ 2001;65(4):306-12.
- Anderson P. Global use of alcohol, drugs and tobacco. Drug Alcohol Rev 2006; 25(6):489-502
- Reibel J. Tobacco and oral diseases. Update on the evidence with recommendations. Med Princ Pract 2003;12(1):22-32.
 Johnson NW, Bain CA. Tobacco and oral disease. EU – Working Group on tobacco and
- Johnson NW, Bain CA. Tobacco and oral disease. EU Working Group on tobacco and oral health. Br Dent J, 2000; 189(4): 200-206.
- Kassirer B. Smoking as a risk factor for gingival problems, periodontal problems and caries. Univ Tor Dent J 1994; 7(1):6-10.
- Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Arakawa M, Sasaki S, Ohya Y. Household smoking and dental caries in schoolchildren: The Ryukyus child health study. BMC Public Health 2010;10:335.
- Holmen A, Stomberg U, Magnusson K, Twetman S. Tobacco use and caries risk among adolescents – Alongitudinal study in Sweden. BMC Oral Health 2013;12:31.
 Lashkari KP, Shukla A. Prevalence of dental caries among smokeless tobacco chewers
- Lashkari KP, Shukla A. Prevalence of dental caries among smokeless tobacco chewers in Dakshina Kannada district population: A cross sectional study. Oral health dent manage 2016;15:1-3.
- Chapple IL, Bouchard P, Cagetti MG, Campus G, Carra MC, Cocco F, et al. Interaction of lifestyle, behaviour or systemic diseases with dental caries and periodontal diseases: consensus report of group 2 of the joint ETP / ORCA workshop on the boundaries between caries and periodontal diseases. J Clin Periodontol 2017;44(Suppl 180:39-51
- 14. Vijaya Hegde, Sharanya Sreekumar. Assessment of dental caries experience along with Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus sanguis and Candida count among male tobacco users visiting a dental institute of Mangalore, Karnataka, India: A cross – sectional study. J Res Med Dent Sci 2019; 7(1):131-135.
- Gunnel Hansel Petersson and Twetman. Tobacco use and caries increment in young adults: a prospective observational study. BMC Res Notes 2019; 12:2-18.
 Sharma S, Mishra SK, Mittal N. Influence of tobacco dependence on caries development

International Journal of Scientific Research

Volume-8 | Issue-9 | September - 2019

- in young male adults: A cross-sectional study. J Conserv Dent 2018;21:597-601. Tanner T, Antti Kamppi, Jari Pakkila. Association of smoking and snuffing with dental 17.
- caries occurrence in a young male population in Finland: A cross sectional study. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica 2014;72(8):1017-1024.
- Tanner T, Pakkila J, Karjalainen K. Smoking, alcohol use, socio-economic background and oral health among young Finnish adults. Community Dentistry and Oral epidemiology 2015;43(5);406-414. 18.
- 19.
- Epidemotogy 2013;40(3);40(3);40(4 20. 84
- Axelsson P, Paulander J, Lindhe J. Relationship between smoking and dental status in 35-, 50-, 65- and 75-year-old individuals. Journal of clinical periodontology 1998;25(4):297-305. 21.
- Petersen PE. Tobacco and oral health the role of the World Health Organization. Oral 22.
- Petersen PE. Tobacco and oral health the role of the World Health Organization. Oral Health Prev Dent 2003;1(4):309-315. Talhout R, Opperhuizen A, Van Amsterdam JG. Sugars as tobacco ingredient: effects on mainstream smoke composition. Food chem. Toxicol. 2006;44(11):1789-1798. Heng CK, Badner VM, Freeman KD. Relationship of cigarette smoking to dental caries in a population of female inmates. J Correct health care 2006;12(3):164-74. Huang R, Li M, Gregory RL. Effect of nicotine on growth and metabolism of Streptococcus mutans. Eur J Oral sci 2012;120:319-325. 23. 24
- 25.